arXiv:math/0412370v1 [math.DG] 18 Dec 2004

TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF ESCHENBURG SPACES AND 3-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

TED CHINBURG, CHRISTINE ESCHER, AND WOLFGANG ZILLER

Riemannian manifolds with positive sectional curvature have been a frequent topic of global Riemannian geometry for over 40 years. Nevertheless, there are relatively few known examples of such manifolds. The purpose of this article is to study the topological properties of some of these examples, the so-called Eschenburg spaces, in detail.

In addition to positively curved metrics, some Eschenburg spaces also carry another special geometric structure, namely a 3-Sasakian metric, i.e. a metric whose Euclidean cone is Hyperkähler [BG]. 3-Sasakian spaces are interesting since they are Einstein manifolds and are connected to several other geometries: They admit an almost free, isometric action by $SU(2)$ whose quotient is a quaternionic Kähler orbifold. The twistor space of this orbifold, which can be viewed as an S^1-quotient of the 3-Sasakian manifold, carries a natural Kähler-Einstein orbifold metric with positive scalar curvature.

3-Sasakian structures are rare and rigid, in fact the moduli space of such metrics on a fixed manifold consists of at most isolated points. This motivated C. Boyer and K. Galicki to pose the question in [BG][Question 9.9, p. 52] whether a manifold can admit more than one 3-Sasakian structure. Natural candidates for such examples are the 3-Sasakian metrics discovered in [BGM]. They are defined on the Eschenburg biquotients $E_{a,b,c} = \operatorname{diag}(z^a, z^b, z^c)\backslash SU(3)/\operatorname{diag}(z^{a+b+c}, 1, 1)$, where a, b, c are positive, pairwise relatively prime integers. The simplest topological invariant of these spaces is the order of the fourth cohomology group, which is a finite cyclic group of order $r = ab + ac + bc$. By studying further topological invariants of these manifolds we show:

THEOREM A. *For $r \leq 10^7$, there is a unique pair of 3-Sasakian Eschenburg spaces $E_{a,b,c}$ which are diffeomorphic to each other, but not isometric. It is given by $(a, b, c) =$ (2279, 1603, 384) and (2528, 939, 799) with $r = 5143925$.*

The two 3-Sasakian metrics are non-isometric since the isometric action by $SU(2)$ has cyclic isotropy groups of order $a+b, a+c$ and $b+c$. In [BG] they also asked whether two 3-Sasakian manifolds can be homeomorphic to each other but not diffeomorphic. This happens frequently among the 3-Sasakian Eschenburg spaces. There are 96 such pairs for $r \leq 10^7$, the first one of which is given by $(a, b, c) =$ (171, 164, 1) and (223, 60, 53) for $r = 28379$. See Table 4.5 for the next 4 such pairs.

The manifolds $E_{a,b,c}$ also carry a metric of positive sectional curvature, although the 3-Sasakian metric never has positive curvature. They are special cases of the more general

Date: February 1, 2008.

The second author was supported by a grant from the Association for Women in Mathematics. The last author was supported by the Francis J. Carey Term Chair, and the first and last author were supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

family of Eschenburg spaces given by $E_{k,l} = \operatorname{diag}(z^{k_1}, z^{k_2}, z^{k_3})\backslash SU(3)/\operatorname{diag}(z^{l_1}, z^{l_2}, z^{l_3})$. In this article we also examine the topology of the positively curved spaces among this more general class of Eschenburg spaces. They contain in particular the homogeneous Aloff-Wallach spaces $SU(3)/\operatorname{diag}(z^p, z^q, \bar{z}^{p+q})$, [AW], which Kreck-Stolz [KS1] classified up to homeomorphism and diffeomorphism. They were thus able to construct the first examples of positively curved Riemannian manifolds which are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic. For this purpose they introduced three invariants for 7-dimensional manifolds with the same cohomology ring as $W_{p,q}$ or $E_{k,l}$, which are generalizations of the classical Eells-Kuiper invariant. They are computed using a bounding 8-dimensional manifold and detect both the homeomorphism and diffeomorphism type. In case of the Aloff-Wallach spaces, which can be viewed as circle bundles over the homogeneous flag manifold, the bounding manifold is simply the corresponding disc bundle. Another special case, namely the circle bundles over the inhomogeneous 6-dimensional flag manifold, were studied in [AMP1],[AMP2] although in this case there are not even any homeomorphic pairs, see [Sh]. The invariants for the general Eschenburg family, for which it is more difficult to find a bounding 8-manifold, were computed by Kruggel [K2]. We use his formulas to study the topology of $E_{k,l}$.

The fourth cohomology group of $E_{k,l}$ is a finite cyclic group of order $r = |k_1k_2 + k_1k_3 + k_2k_3 - (l_1l_2 + l_1l_3 + l_2l_3)|$, and we show that for a given value of r there are only finitely many positively curved Eschenburg spaces.

THEOREM B. *For $r \leq 8000$, there is a unique pair of positively curved Eschenburg spaces $E_{k,l}$ which are homeomorphic to each other, but not diffeomorphic, given by $(k_1, k_2, k_3 \mid l_1, l_2, l_3) = (79, 49, -50 \mid 0, 46, 32)$ and $(75, 54, -51 \mid 0, 46, 32)$ with $r = 4001$.*

There are 69 pairs of this type for $r \leq 50000$, the first 5 are listed in Table 4.2. Among these 69 there are also 4 pairs which are diffeomorphic to each other, see Table 4.3. We were not able to show that they are not isometric, although one should expect this to be the case. In the case of the Aloff-Wallach examples in [KS1] the integer parameters must be significantly larger. They find 11 homeomorphic pairs and 3 diffeomorphic pairs for $r < 10^{17}$

To prove these theorems we use the Kreck-Stolz invariants, as described in [K2]. In contrast to the case of the circle bundles in [KS1] and [AMP1], where the formulas for the invariants are fourth degree polynomials, the formulas in [K2] are quite complicated and involve several number theoretic sums, with values in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. In order to compute these invariants on a computer, one needs to control the denominators, see Theorem 3.1.

It is a pleasure to thank D. Zagier and P.Gilkey for helpful discussions and B. Bunker for assistance with the C-code.

1. Eschenburg spaces

A biquotient is a generalization of a homogeneous space where $H \subset G \times G$ acts on G via $(h_1, h_2) \cdot g = h_1 g h_2^{-1}$. The action is free if and only if h_1 is never conjugate to h_2, in which case the quotient is a manifold denoted by $G//H$. We will also use the notation $\phi_1(h)\backslash G/\phi_2(h)$ where the inclusion $H \subset G \times G$ is given by $(\phi_1(h), \phi_2(h))$.

The Eschenburg spaces are an infinite family of seven dimensional manifolds containing a subfamily that admits a metric a positive sectional curvature. They were introduced by Eschenburg in 1982, [E1], and they can be described as biquotients of SU(3).

Let $k := (k_1, k_2, k_3)$, $l := (l_1, l_2, l_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ be two triples of integers such that $k_1+k_2+k_3 = l_1 + l_2 + l_3$. We can then define a two-sided action of $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ on SU(3) whose quotient we denote by $E_{k,l}$:

$$E_{k,l} := \operatorname{diag}(z^{k_1}, z^{k_2}, z^{k_3}) \backslash SU(3) / \operatorname{diag}(z^{l_1}, z^{l_2}, z^{l_3}) \,,\; k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = l_1 + l_2 + l_3 \,.$$

The action is free if and only if $\operatorname{diag}(z^{k_1}, z^{k_2}, z^{k_3})$ is not conjugate to $\operatorname{diag}(z^{l_1}, z^{l_2}, z^{l_3})$ which translates into the following conditions, which must all be satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(k_1 - l_1, k_2 - l_2) = 1 \quad & \gcd(k_1 - l_2, k_2 - l_1) \;\; = 1 \\ \gcd(k_1 - l_1, k_2 - l_3) = 1 \quad & \gcd(k_1 - l_2, k_2 - l_3) \;\; = 1 \\ \gcd(k_1 - l_3, k_2 - l_1) = 1 \quad & \gcd(k_1 - l_3, k_2 - l_2) \;\; = 1 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

In [E1] it is also shown that the spaces $E_{k,l}$, equipped with a metric induced by a certain left invariant metric on $SU(3)$, has positive sectional curvature if and only if:

$$k_i \notin [\min(l_1, l_2, l_3), \max(l_1, l_2, l_3)] \quad \text{for all} \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \,. \tag{1.2}$$

Remark 1.3. One of the difficulties of dealing with these spaces, is that they do not have a unique representation. One can easily change the integers such that the S^1-actions are equivalent to each other, and hence the quotient manifolds are diffeomorphic:

- We can use any permutation of the entries in k since an element of the Weyl group of $SU(3)$ acting on the left will produce an equivalence of the corresponding actions. Similarly, we can use any permutation of the entries of l.
- We can switch all entries in k with the entries in l, if we replace the left-invariant metric with a right invariant one, since the inversion on $SU(3)$ induces an isometry.
- Simultaneously changing the signs of all entries in k and l is obtained by pre-composing the action with $z \mapsto \bar{z}$. Note though that in this case, the operation changes the orientation of $E_{k,l}$.
- Adding an integer to all entries in k and l, i.e. replacing k_i and l_i by $k_i + n$ and $l_i + n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, induces the same action of S^1, although in this case the new action may be only almost effective.

In the case of positively curved Eschenburg spaces, we use such changes of the group action to obtain a unique representation:

Lemma 1.4. *Each positively curved Eschenburg space $E_{k,l}$ has the following unique representation*

$$\begin{aligned} k =& (k_1, k_2, l_1 + l_2 - k_1 - k_2) \\ l =& (l_1, l_2, 0) \\ \textit{with} \quad & k_1 \geq k_2 > l_1 \geq l_2 \geq 0 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Proof. Recall that the k_i and l_i have to satisfy the positive curvature condition (1.2). If necessary change the signs of all k_i and l_i to ensure that both k_1 and k_2 are on the right of the interval $[\min(l_1,l_2,l_3), \max(l_1,l_2,l_3)]$. We can assume that $k_1 \geq k_2$ by changing the order of k_1 and k_2 if necessary. Now subtract $\min(l_1,l_2,l_3)$ and after possibly changing the order of l_1 and l_2 we can assume that $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq l_3 = 0$. Hence we obtain that $k_1 \geq k_2 > l_1 \geq l_2 \geq l_3 = 0 > k_3 = l_1 + l_2 - k_1 - k_2$. □

Using the Serre spectral sequence as in [E3], or by following the methods developed in [Si], one obtains the cohomology ring with integer coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} &H^1(E_{k,l}) = 0\,,\ H^2(E_{k,l}) = \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{generated by} \quad u\,, \\ &H^3(E_{k,l}) = 0\,,\ H^4(E_{k,l}) = \mathbb{Z}_{|r|} \quad \text{generated by} \quad u^2\,, \\ &\text{with} \quad r = \sigma_2(k) - \sigma_2(l)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where $\sigma_i(k) := \sigma_i(k_1,k_2,k_3)$ is the i-th elementary symmetric function. Moreover, by studying the cell structure of the Eschenburg spaces as in [K1, Remark 1.3], one proves that r is always an odd number.

In order to generate a complete list of all positively curved Eschenburg spaces the following proposition will be important:

Proposition 1.7. *For each odd $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ there are only finitely many positively curved Eschenburg spaces $E_{k,l}$ with $H^4(E_{k,l}) = \mathbb{Z}_{|r|}$.*

Proof. Assume that $E_{k,l}$ is represented as in Lemma (1.4). Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} r &= k_1\,k_2 + k_1\,k_3 + k_2\,k_3 - l_1\,l_2 && \text{with} \quad k_3 = l_1 + l_2 - k_1 - k_2 \\ &= -[k_1\,(k_1 - l_1) + (k_2 - l_2)\,(k_1 + k_2 - l_1)] && \text{with} \quad k_1 \geq k_2 > l_1 \geq l_2 \geq 0\,. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $k_1 > 0\,,\ k_1 - l_1 > 0\,,\ k_2 - l_2 > 0$ and $k_1 + k_2 - l_1 > 0$ and hence $r < 0$. If we fix a positive odd integer $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $N = -[k_1\,(k_1 - l_1) + (k_2 - l_2)\,(k_1 + k_2 - l_1)]$, then the above conditions imply $k_1\,,\ k_2\,,\ l_1\,,\ l_2 \in [1, N]$. Hence there are only finitely many choices for $k_i\,,\ l_i$ as claimed. □

There are various interesting subfamilies of the Eschenburg spaces that have appeared in different contexts, see for example [KS1, AMP1, AMP2, BGM, Di, Sh]. We use [Zi] for a systematic description of these subfamilies.

(1) Cohomogeneity one Eschenburg spaces

A group action of G on a manifold M is said to be of cohomogeneity one if the orbit space M/G is one-dimensional. For $E_{k,l}$ with $k_1 = k_2$ and $l_1 = l_2$ the group $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ acting on $SU(3)$ on the left and on the right, clearly commutes with the S^1-action and induces a cohomogeneity one action on $E_{k,l}$. Using a change in the group action as in (1.3), we can rewrite these cohomogeneity one Eschenburg spaces as follows.

$$E_a = \operatorname{diag}(z^a, z, z) \backslash \operatorname{SU}(3) / \operatorname{diag}(z^{a+2}, 1, 1)\,.$$

The action is free for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $E_a = E_{-a-1}$, again via changes as in (1.3), we can assume $a \geq 0$. It follows that E_a has positive curvature for all $a \neq 0$. Note that in this

case $r = 2\,a + 1$ and we obtain exactly one positively curved cohomogeneity one space for each odd r.

(2) Cohomogeneity two Eschenburg spaces

If two of the integers in k or in l are equal, we obtain an action of $G = SU(2) \times T^2$ on $SU(3)$ commuting with the S^1 action such that the orbit space $E_{k,l}/G$ is two dimensional. There are two families of Eschenburg spaces of this type.

(2^+) $k_1 = k_2$. We can rewrite these particular cohomogeneity two Eschenburg spaces as follows.

$$E_{a,b,c} = \operatorname{diag}(z^a, z^b, z^c) \backslash \operatorname{SU}(3) / \operatorname{diag}(z^{a+b+c}, 1, 1)$$

The action is free if a, b, c are pairwise relatively prime integers and $E_{a,b,c}$ has positive curvature if and only if $a \geq b \geq c > 0$. We can assume $a > b > c > 0$ since it is otherwise an Eschenburg space of cohomogeneity one. This subfamily also includes the circle bundles over the inhomogeneous flag manifold given by $a = b + c$, see [E4], and their topological properties were studied in [AMP1, AMP2, Sh]. As mentioned in the introduction, they also admit a second metric which is 3-Sasakian and we will study this subfamily to prove Theorem A.

(2^-) $l_1 = l_2$. These spaces can be rewritten again as

$$\operatorname{diag}(z^a, z^b, z^c) \backslash \operatorname{SU}(3) / \operatorname{diag}(z^{a+b+c}, 1, 1)$$

with a, b, c pairwise relatively prime. But in this case they have positive curvature if and only if $a \geq b > 0$ and $-b < c < 0$, and $a > b$ if they are not of cohomogeneity one. If $a + b + c = 0$ we recover the Aloff-Wallach spaces $W_{a,b} = SU(3)/\operatorname{diag}(z^a, z^b, z^{-a-b})$.

(3) Cohomogeneity four Eschenburg spaces

In the general case $G = T^4$ and M/G is four dimensional. In our normalization we can assume $k_1 > k_2 > l_1 > l_2 \geq 0$.

In [GSZ] it is shown that in the cohomogeneity one and two case, the above group actions cannot be extended to an action with smaller cohomogeneity.

2. Topological invariants

The topological invariants we use are the order of the fourth cohomology group $r := |r(k,l)| = |\sigma_2(k) - \sigma_2(l)|$, the self-linking number, the first Pontrjagin class, and the Kreck-Stolz invariants. All of these invariants were computed for most of the Eschenburg spaces in [K2]. The results can be summarized as follows.

(1) The self-linking number of a class $u^2 \in H^4(E_{k,l})$ is given by

$$\operatorname{Lk}(k,l) := \operatorname{Lk}(E_{k,l}) = \operatorname{Lk}(u^2, u^2) = -\frac{s^{-1}(k,l)}{r(k,l)} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

where $s(k,l) := \sigma_3(k) - \sigma_3(l)$ and $s^{-1}(k,l)$ is the multiplicative inverse of $s(k,l)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{|r(k,l)|}$. Notice that $\operatorname{Lk}(k,l)$ is uniquely determined by $s(k,l)$ up to sign (which will effect the orientation). In our normalization we always have $r(k,l) < 0$ and hence in our tables we will use $s(k,l) \mod |r(k,l)|$.

(2) The first Pontrjagin class, as an element in $H^4(E_{k,l}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{|r(k,l)|}$, is given by

$$p_1(k,l) := p_1(E_{k,l}) = [2\,\sigma_1(k)^2 - 6\,\sigma_2(k)] \cdot u^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{|r(k,l)|} .$$

Note that the roles of k and l are interchangeable since $\sigma_1(k) = \sigma_1(l)$ and $\sigma_2(k) \equiv \sigma_2(l)$ mod $|r(k,l)|$. The second Stiefel-Whitney class vanishes for all $E_{k,l}$.

(3) The Kreck-Stolz invariants are based on the Eells-Kuiper μ-invariant and are defined as linear combinations of relative characteristic numbers of appropriate bounding manifolds. They were introduced in [KS1] and calculated for most of the Eschenburg spaces in [K2]. One first constructs a cobordism of $SU(3)$ and extends the S^1-action to this cobordism. However, in general this extended circle action is not free anymore and in order to make the action almost free, Kruggel introduced the following condition (C).

We say that condition (C) holds if and only if the matrix $A_{i,j} = (k_i - l_j)$ contains at least one row or one column whose entries are pairwise relatively prime. In [K2] it was indicated that condition (C) might always be satisfied. Unfortunately, this is not the case. There are many Eschenburg spaces, even positively curved ones, which do not satisfy condition (C). For example for $r < 5000$ there are 54 positively curved Eschenburg spaces for which condition (C) fails.

The positively curved Eschenburg space with smallest $|r(k,l)|$ where this occurs is given by $(k_1, k_2, k_3 \mid l_1, l_2, l_3) = (35, 21, -34, \mid 12, 10, 0)$, with:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 23 & 5^2 & 5 \cdot 7 \\ 3^2 & 11 & 3 \cdot 7 \\ -2 \cdot 23 & -2^2 \cdot 11 & -2^2 \cdot 17 \end{pmatrix}$$

Determining the homeomorphism type and diffeomorphism type of Eschenburg spaces that do not satisfy condition (C) remains an open problem. Notice though that in the subclass of cohomogeneity two Eschenburg spaces, and in particular for 3-Sasakian manifolds, condition (C) always holds since in that case the first column (a, b, c) consists of pairwise relatively prime integers. Fortunately, in the proof of Theorems B this problem does not arise either, as explained in section 4.

Assuming that condition (C) holds for the j-th column, there are at most three exceptional orbits for the S^1 action on the cobordism of $SU(3)$ with isotropy groups $\mathbb{Z}_{|k_1 - l_j|}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{|k_2 - l_j|}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{|k_3 - l_j|}$. After removing small equivariant neighborhoods of these orbits the action becomes free and the quotient is a smooth eight dimensional manifold $W_{k,l}$ with boundary $\partial(W_{k,l}) = E_{k,l} \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$ where the L_i are the following seven dimensional lens spaces.

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &:= L(k_1 - l_j; k_2 - l_j, k_3 - l_j, k_2 - l_{[j+1]_2}, k_3 - l_{[j+1]_2}) \\ L_2 &:= L(k_2 - l_j; k_1 - l_j, k_3 - l_j, k_1 - l_{[j+1]_2}, k_3 - l_{[j+1]_2}) \\ L_3 &:= L(k_3 - l_j; k_1 - l_j, k_2 - l_j, k_1 - l_{[j+1]_2}, k_2 - l_{[j+1]_2}) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the notation $[n]_p := m$ if $n = \lambda \cdot p + m$ for $m = 1, \ldots, p$, for the residue class $[n]$ modulo p.

Since the invariants are additive with respect to unions, we obtain $s_i(W_{k,l}) = s_i(E_{k,l}) + s_i(L_1) + s_i(L_2) + s_i(L_3) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, 2$. Calculating $s_i(W_{k,l})$, yields the following expressions for the Kreck-Stolz invariants, which hold in the case condition (C) is satisfied for the j-th column.

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_1(E_{k,l}) &= \frac{4\,|\,r(k,l)\,(k_1-l_j)\,(k_2-l_j)\,(k_3-l_j)\,| - q(k,l)^2}{2^7\cdot 7\cdot r(k,l)\,(k_1-l_j)\,(k_2-l_j)\,(k_3-l_j)} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{3} s_1(k_{[i]_3}-l_j; k_{[i+1]_3}-l_j, k_{[i+2]_3}-l_j, k_{[i+1]_3}-l_{[j+1]_2}, k_{[i+2]_3}-l_{[j+1]_2}) \\
s_2(E_{k,l}) &= \frac{q(k,l)-2}{2^4\cdot 3\cdot r(k,l)\,(k_1-l_j)\,(k_2-l_j)\,(k_3-l_j)} \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{3} s_2(k_{[i]_3}-l_j; k_{[i+1]_3}-l_j, k_{[i+2]_3}-l_j, k_{[i+1]_3}-l_{[j+1]_2}, k_{[i+2]_3}-l_{[j+1]_2})
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.1}
$$

where $q(k,l) := (k_1-l_j)^2 + (k_2-l_j)^2 + (k_3-l_j)^2 + (k_1-l_{[j+1]_2})^2 + (k_2-l_{[j+1]_2})^2 + (k_3-l_{[j+1]_2})^2 - (l_j-l_{[j+1]_2})^2$, and $s_i(p; p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) := s_i(L_p(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, 2$ are the Kreck-Stolz invariants of the lens space $L_p(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = S^7/\mathbb{Z}_p$.

The freeness condition of the action (1.1) implies that $k_i - l_j \neq 0$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, and hence the above expressions for s_1 and s_2 are well-defined.

By the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem the Kreck-Stolz invariants can also be expressed as linear combinations of eta-invariants. Calculating these eta-invariants for the lens spaces, one obtains:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_1(p; p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) &= \frac{1}{2^5\cdot 7\cdot p}\sum_{k=1}^{|p|-1}\prod_{j=1}^{4}\cot\left(\frac{k\pi p_j}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{2^4\cdot p}\sum_{k=1}^{|p|-1}\prod_{j=1}^{4}\csc\left(\frac{k\pi p_j}{p}\right); \\
s_2(p; p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) &= \frac{1}{2^4\cdot p}\sum_{k=1}^{|p|-1}(e^{\frac{2\pi\imath k}{|p|}}-1)\prod_{j=1}^{4}\csc\left(\frac{k\pi p_j}{p}\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.2}
$$

For $p = \pm 1$ these expressions are interpreted to be 0.

These formulas only hold in the case that condition (C) is satisfied for the jth column. We also list the case where the jth row consists of relatively prime entries, since this will be needed in our calculations.

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(E_{k,l}) &= \frac{4\,|\,r(k,l)\,(k_j-l_1)\,(k_j-l_2)\,(k_j-l_3)\,|-q(k,l)^2}{2^7\cdot 7\cdot r(k,l)\,(k_j-l_1)\,(k_j-l_2)\,(k_j-l_3)} \\ &\quad+\sum_{i=1}^{3} s_1(k_j-l_{[i]_3};k_j-l_{[i+1]_3},k_j-l_{[i+2]_3},k_{[j+1]_2}-l_{[i+1]_3},k_{[j+1]_2}-l_{[i+2]_3}) \\ s_2(E_{k,l}) &= \frac{q(k,l)-2}{2^4\cdot 3\cdot r(k,l)\,(k_j-l_1)\,(k_j-l_2)\,(k_j-l_3)} \\ &\quad+\sum_{i=1}^{3} s_2(k_j-l_{[i]_3};k_j-l_{[i+1]_3},k_j-l_{[i+2]_3},k_{[j+1]_2}-l_{[i+1]_3},k_{[j+1]_2}-l_{[i+2]_3}) \end{aligned}$$

where $q(k,l) := (k_j-l_1)^2+(k_j-l_2)^2+(k_j-l_3)^2+(k_{[j+1]_2}-l_1)^2+(k_{[j+1]_2}-l_2)^2+(k_{[j+1]_2}-l_3)^2-(k_j-k_{[j+1]_2})^2$.

Using these invariants we now state the classification theorems by Kruggel for the Eschenburg spaces.

THEOREM 2.3. *(Kruggel)* [K1, K2] *Assume the Eschenburg spaces $E_{k,l}$ and $E_{k',l'}$ both satisfy condition (C). Then*

(I) $E_{k,l}$ and $E_{k',l'}$ are (orientation preserving) homeomorphic if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} &(a) \quad |r(k,l)| = |r(k',l')| \in \mathbb{Z} \\ &(b) \quad \mathrm{Lk}(k,l) \equiv \mathrm{Lk}(k',l') \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \\ &(c) \quad p_1(k,l) \equiv p_1(k',l') \in \mathbb{Z}_{|r(k,l)|} \\ &(d) \quad s_2(E_{k,l}) \equiv s_2(E_{k',l'}) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

(II) $E_{k,l}$ and $E_{k',l'}$ are (orientation preserving) diffeomorphic if and only if in addition

$$s_1(E_{k,l}) \equiv s_1(E_{k',l'}) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

(III) $E_{k,l}$ and $E_{k',l'}$ are (orientation preserving) homotopy equivalent if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} &(a) \quad |r(k,l)| = |r(k',l')| \in \mathbb{Z} \\ &(b) \quad \mathrm{Lk}(k,l) \equiv \mathrm{Lk}(k',l) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \\ &(c) \quad s_{22}(E_{k,l}) \equiv s_{22}(E_{k',l'}) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

where $s_{22}(E_{k,l}) := 2\,|r(k,l)|\,s_2(E_{k,l})$.

For the corresponding theorem in the orientation reversing case the linking number and the Kreck-Stolz invariants change signs. Recall that in this theorem, $r(k,l)=\sigma_2(k)-\sigma_2(l)$, $p_1(E_{k,l}) = [2\,\sigma_1(k)^2-6\,\sigma_2(k)]\cdot u^2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{|r|}$, and the equality of the linking forms can replaced by the equality of the numbers $s(k,l) := \sigma_3(k)-\sigma_3(l) \in \mathbb{Z}_{|r(k,l)|}$.

Remark 2.4. In [KS1] Kreck-Stolz used another invariant s_3 in the homeomorphism classification, and showed that $r(k,l), s_2$ and s_3 determine the homeomorphism type.

Following [K2], the formula for the invariant s_3 for the Eschenburg spaces is easily seen to be:

$$s_3(E_{k,l}) = \frac{q(k,l) - 8}{2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot r(k,l)\,(k_1 - l_j)\,(k_2 - l_j)\,(k_3 - l_j)} - \sum_{i=1}^{3} s_3(k_{[i]_3} - l_j; k_{[i+1]_3} - l_j, k_{[i+2]_3} - l_j, k_{[i+1]_3} - l_{[j+1]_2}, k_{[i+2]_3} - l_{[j+1]_2})$$

where

$$s_3(p; p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) = \frac{1}{2^4 \cdot p} \sum_{k=1}^{|p|-1} (e^{\frac{4\pi\imath k}{|p|}} - 1) \prod_{j=1}^{4} \csc\left(\frac{k\pi p_j}{p}\right)$$

3. Number Theory

The difficulty in explicitly calculating the invariants comes from the complicated expressions (2.2) for the Kreck-Stolz invariants of the lens spaces. These expressions are rational since they lie in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. However, in order to use a computer program to calculate the invariants it is necessary to control the denominators. The bounds on the denominators in the theorem below are similar to those obtained by Zagier in [Za] for the higher order Dedekind sum T. However, for the other sums the results we need are not contained in [Za], so we give a proof.

Theorem 3.1. *For all integers $p, p_1, \cdots, p_4$ such that p is relatively prime to each p_i, the numbers*

$$T = \sum_{k=1}^{|p|-1} \prod_{j=1}^{4} \cot\left(\frac{k\pi p_j}{p}\right) \ , \ S = \sum_{k=1}^{|p|-1} \prod_{j=1}^{4} \csc\left(\frac{k\pi p_j}{p}\right)$$

$$R = \sum_{k=1}^{|p|-1} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi k}{|p|}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{4} \csc\left(\frac{k\pi p_j}{p}\right) \text{ and } U = \sum_{k=1}^{|p|-1} \cos\left(\frac{4\pi k}{|p|}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{4} \csc\left(\frac{k\pi p_j}{p}\right)$$

are rational with denominators which divide 45. If $\sum_{i=1}^{4} p_i$ is even,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{|p|-1} (e^{\frac{2\pi\imath k}{|p|}} - 1) \prod_{j=1}^{4} \csc\left(\frac{k\pi p_j}{p}\right) = R - S \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{|p|-1} (e^{\frac{4\pi\imath k}{|p|}} - 1) \prod_{j=1}^{4} \csc\left(\frac{k\pi p_j}{p}\right) = U - S.$$

To begin the proof, note that the last two equalities are clear from grouping terms for k and $|p| - k$. For the rest of the proof, we can assume that $p > 0$ after replacing p by $-p$ if necessary.

Define

$$F_1(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{4} \cot(xp_j\pi) \quad , \qquad F_2(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{4} \csc(xp_j\pi)$$
$$F_3(x) = \cos(2x\pi)F_2(x) \quad , \qquad F_4(x) = \cos(4x\pi)F_2(x). \tag{3.2}$$

If F is one of the F_i then $F(x) = F(2-x)$. It follows that

$$\sum_{k=1,k\neq p}^{2p-1} F(k/p) = 2T,\ 2S,\ 2R,\ 2U \quad \text{if} \quad F = F_1,\ F_2,\ F_3,\ F_4 \quad \text{respectively.} \tag{3.3}$$

For each divisor p' of $2p$ define

$$\mathcal{C}_{p'} = \{k : 1 \leq k < 2p \quad \text{and} \quad (k, 2p) = p'\}$$
$$\mathcal{D}_{2p/p'} = \{k' : 1 \leq k' < \frac{2p}{p'} \quad \text{and} \quad (k', \frac{2p}{p'}) = 1\} \tag{3.4}$$

The map $k' \to k' \cdot p'$ defines a bijection between $\mathcal{D}_{2p/p'}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{p'}$. Therefore for $F \in \{F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1,k\neq p}^{2p-1} F(k/p) &= \sum_{p\neq p'|2p} \sum_{k\in\mathcal{C}_{p'}} F(k/p) \\ &= \sum_{2\neq d=\frac{2p}{p'}|2p} \sum_{k'\in\mathcal{D}_d} F(2k'p'/dp') \\ &= \sum_{2<d=\frac{2p}{p'}|2p} \sum_{k'\in\mathcal{D}_d} F(2k'/d) \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

In deducing the last line, we use the fact that $\mathcal{D}_1$ is empty.

Let $\zeta_d = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{d}\right)$ be a d^{th} root of unity. For each divisor d of $2p$ with $d > 2$, denoted by $2 < d|2p$, there is a bijection $\mathcal{D}_d \to \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)/\mathbb{Q}) = G_d$ which sends $k' \in \mathcal{D}_d$ to the unique automorphism $\sigma_{k'}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ which takes ζ_d to $\zeta_d^{k'}$. From (3.2) we have

$$F_1(2k'/d) = \prod_{j=1}^{4} \frac{\zeta_d^{k'p_j} + \zeta_d^{k'p_j}}{\zeta_d^{k'p_j} - \zeta_d^{k'p_j}} \quad ; \quad F_2(2k'/d) = 16\prod_{j=1}^{4} \frac{1}{\zeta_d^{k'p_j} - \zeta_d^{k'p_j}} \tag{3.6}$$
$$F_3(2k'/d) = \left(\frac{\zeta_d^{2k'p_j} + \zeta_d^{2k'p_j}}{2}\right) F_2(2k'/d) \quad ; \quad F_4(2k'/d) = \left(\frac{\zeta_d^{4k'p_j} + \zeta_d^{4k'p_j}}{2}\right) F_2(2k'/d).$$

Therefore for $F \in \{F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4\}$ and $k' \in \mathcal{D}_d$ we have $F(2k'/d) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ and $\sigma_{k'}(F(2/d)) = F(2k'/d)$. Hence

$$\sum_{k=1,k\neq p}^{2p-1} F(k/p) = \sum_{2<d=\frac{2p}{p'}|2p} \sum_{k'\in\mathcal{D}_d} F(2k'/d) = \sum_{2<d=\frac{2p}{p'}|2p} \mathrm{Tr_d}(F(2/d)) \tag{3.7}$$

where $\mathrm{Tr_d} : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) \to \mathbb{Q}$ is the trace function defined by $\mathrm{Tr_d}(\tau) = \sum_{\sigma\in G_d} \sigma(\tau)$.

Define

$$\alpha_d = \prod_{j=1}^{4}\left(\zeta_d^{p_j} - \zeta_d^{-p_j}\right)\ ,\ \beta_d = \prod_{j=1}^{4}\left(\zeta_d^{p_j} + \zeta_d^{-p_j}\right)\ ,\ \gamma_d = \zeta_d^2 + \zeta_d^{-2},\ \tau_d = \zeta_d^4 + \zeta_d^{-4}. \tag{3.8}$$

Putting together (3.3), (3.7) and (3.6) shows

$$\begin{aligned} 2T &= \sum_{2<d|2p} \mathrm{Tr_d}\left(\frac{\beta_d}{\alpha_d}\right) \quad , \qquad 2S = 16\sum_{2<d|2p} \mathrm{Tr_d}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_d}\right)\ , \\ 2R &= 8\sum_{2<d|2p} \mathrm{Tr_d}\left(\frac{\gamma_d}{\alpha_d}\right) \quad , \qquad 2U = 8\sum_{2<d|2p} \mathrm{Tr_d}\left(\frac{\tau_d}{\alpha_d}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

LEMMA 3.10. *Fix $2 < d|2p$ and let $d' = d/(d,2) > 1$. Then each of α_d, β_d , γ_d and τ_d are integers in the real subfield $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'} + \zeta_{d'}^{-1})$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})$. Moreover,*

1. *If d' is not a prime power, then α_d is a unit.*
2. *Suppose $d' = l^r$ for some prime l and some $r > 0$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the unique prime ideal over l in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+$. If $d' \neq 2$ then α_d generates the ideal $\mathcal{P}^2$, while if $d' = 2$ then α_d generates $\mathcal{P}^4$. The relative degree $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+]$ is 2 if $l > 2$ or $d' = 2$, and $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+] = 4$ if $l = 2$ and $d' > 2$.*

Proof. Let us first show α_d, β_d, γ_d and τ_d are integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+$. Since ζ_d is integral, so are α_d, β_d, γ_d and τ_d. Complex conjugation acts on $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ by sending ζ_d to ζ_d^{-1}. Hence (3.8) shows that each of α_d, β_d, γ_d and τ_d are in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)^+$. If 4 does not divide d, then $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+$. Suppose now that $4|d$, so $d' = d/2$ is even. The Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'}))$ is generated by the automorphism σ which sends ζ_d to $\zeta_d^{1+d'} = -\zeta_d$. In this case, each of the p_j are odd since they are relatively prime to p, $2|(d/2)$ and $(d/2)|p$. It now follows from (3.8) that each of α_d, β_d and γ_d are fixed by σ. Thus these numbers are in the real subfield $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'}) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)^+$ in all cases.

We now prove the remaining assertions about α_d. In $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ we have

$$\zeta_d^{p_j} - \zeta_d^{-p_j} = \zeta_d^{-p_j}(\zeta_d^{2p_j} - 1) = \zeta_d^{-p_j}(\zeta_{d'}^{p'_j} - 1) \tag{3.11}$$

where $d' = d$ and $p'_j = 2p_j$ if d is odd, and $d' = d/2$ and $p'_j = p_j$ if d is even. Recall that p_j is prime to p, and $d|2p$. Hence $p'_j = 2p_j$ is prime to $d' = d$ if d is odd, while $p'_j = p_j$ is prime to $d' = (d/2)|p$ if d is even. Thus $\zeta_{d'}^{p'_j}$ is a primitive d'^{th} root of unity in all cases. In [Wa, Prop. 2.8] it is shown that $1 - \zeta_{d'}^{p'_j}$ is a unit if d' has at least two prime factors, so α_d is a unit in this case. Note that $d' > 1$ since $d > 2$.

The remaining possibility is that $d' = l^r$ for some prime l and some $r > 0$. Then $1 - \zeta_{d'}^{p'_j}$ generates the unique prime ideal $\mathcal{Q}$ over l in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'}) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{l^r})$ by [Wa, p. 9]. From (3.8) and (3.11) we see that $\alpha_d \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+$ is the product $\zeta\alpha'$ of a root of unity $\zeta \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)$ with a generator $\alpha' \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})$ for $\mathcal{Q}^4$. Hence $\zeta \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})$, and α_d generates $\mathcal{Q}^4$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})$. The degree $e = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'}) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+]$ is 2 unless $d' = 2$, in which case $e = 1$. Since $\alpha_d \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+$, and $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+$ generates the ideal $\mathcal{Q}^e$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})$, we conclude that α_d generates the ideal $\mathcal{P}^2$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+$ unless $d' = 2$, in which case α_d generates $\mathcal{P}^4 = \mathcal{Q}^4$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'}) = \mathbb{Q}$.

Since $d' = l^r > 1$, the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+$ is totally ramified over l, and has degree 2 unless $d' = 2^r > 2$, in which case it has degree 4. □

LEMMA 3.12. *With the notations of Lemma 3.10, each of* $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{\beta_d}{\alpha_d})$, $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_d}\right)$, $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\frac{\gamma_d}{\alpha_d}\right)$ *and* $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\frac{\tau_d}{\alpha_d}\right)$ *lie in* $2\mathbb{Z}$ *unless* $d' \in \{2, 3, 5\}$. *Moreover, for the remaining cases we obtain:*

1. *Suppose* $d' = 2$. *Then* $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{\beta_d}{\alpha_d}) = 0$, $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{1}{\alpha_d})$ *generates the ideal* $\frac{1}{8}\mathbb{Z}$, *and each of* $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{\gamma_d}{\alpha_d}) = \frac{-4}{\alpha_d}$ *and* $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{\tau_d}{\alpha_d}) = \frac{4}{\alpha_d}$ *generate the ideal* $\frac{1}{4}\mathbb{Z}$.
2. *Suppose* $d' = 3$. *Then* $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{\beta_d}{\alpha_d})$ *lies in* $\frac{2}{9}\mathbb{Z}$, *while* $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{1}{\alpha_d})$ *and* $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{\gamma_d}{\alpha_d}) = \mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{\tau_d}{\alpha_d})$ *each generate the ideal* $\frac{2}{9}\mathbb{Z}$
3. *Suppose* $d' = 5$. *Then* $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{1}{\alpha_d})$, $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{1}{\alpha_d})$, $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{\gamma_d}{\alpha_d})$ *and* $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{\tau_d}{\alpha_d})$ *lie in* $\frac{2}{5}\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Suppose first that $d' = 2$. Then $d = 4$, and each p_j must be odd. Now $\zeta_d^{p_j} + \zeta_d^{-p_j} = i^{p_j} + i^{-p_j} = 0$, so $\beta_d = 0$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{\beta_d}{\alpha_d}) = 0$. We have $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+] = 2$. The number α_d generates the ideal $2^4\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+ = \mathbb{Q}$, $\gamma_d = \zeta_d^2 + \zeta_d^{-2} = -2$ and $\tau_d = \zeta_d^4 + \zeta_d^{-4} = 2$. Hence $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{1}{\alpha_d}) = \frac{2}{\alpha_d}$ generates the ideal $\frac{1}{8}\mathbb{Z}$, while $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{\gamma_d}{\alpha_d}) = \frac{-4}{\alpha_d}$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{\tau_d}{\alpha_d}) = \frac{4}{\alpha_d}$ each generate the ideal $\frac{1}{4}\mathbb{Z}$.

Now suppose $d' = 3$. Then $d = 3$ or $d = 6$, so $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+] = 2$. The number α_d generates the ideal $3^2\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+ = \mathbb{Q}$,and $\gamma_d = \zeta_d^2 + \zeta_d^{-2} = -1 = \zeta_d^4 + \zeta_d^{-4} = \tau_d$. So $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{\beta_d}{\alpha_d}) = \frac{2\beta_d}{\alpha_d}$ lies in the ideal $\frac{2}{9}\mathbb{Z}$, while $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{1}{\alpha_d}) = \frac{2}{\alpha_d}$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{\gamma_d}{\alpha_d}) = \frac{-2}{\alpha_d} = \mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{\tau_d}{\alpha_d})$ each generate the ideal $\frac{2}{9}\mathbb{Z}$.

Next we consider $d' = 5$. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) = L$ is quadratic over $\mathbb{Q}$, and α_d generates the square of the unique prime $\mathcal{P}$ over $l = 5$ in this extension. Since $\mathcal{P}^2$ is generated by 5, we conclude that for $\xi \in \{\frac{\beta_d}{\alpha_d}, \frac{1}{\alpha_d}, \frac{\gamma_d}{\alpha_d}, \frac{\tau_d}{\alpha_d}\}$, the number 5ξ is integral in L. This implies $\mathrm{Tr}_d(\xi) = \mathrm{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(2\xi) = \frac{2}{5}\mathrm{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(5\xi) \in \frac{2}{5}\mathbb{Z}$, as claimed.

In the remaining computations we suppose $\xi \in \{\beta_d, 1, \gamma_d, \tau_d\}$, $d' > 3$ and $d' \neq 5$. If d' is not a prime power then α_d is a unit, so $\frac{\xi}{\alpha_d}$ is integral in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+$. If $d' = l^r$ for some prime l and some $r > 0$, then either $l > 5$ or $r \geq 2$, and α_d generates the square of the unique prime $\mathcal{P}$ over l in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+$. In this case, the degree of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{l^r})^+$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is $\phi(l^r)/2 = (l-1)l^{r-1}/2 \geq 3$, so $\frac{\xi}{\alpha_d} \in \mathcal{P}^{-2}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{-2}$ is contained in the inverse different of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{l^r})^+$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. We conclude that in all cases, $\mathrm{Tr}_{d'+}(\frac{\xi}{\alpha_d}) \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathrm{Tr}_{d'+}$ is the trace from $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+$ to $\mathbb{Q}$. Hence

$$\mathrm{Tr}_d(\frac{\xi}{\alpha_d}) = \mathrm{Tr}_{d'+}(\frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+]\xi}{\alpha_d}) = [\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+] \cdot \mathrm{Tr}_{d'+}(\frac{\xi}{\alpha_d})$$

is in $2\mathbb{Z}$ since $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d) : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{d'})^+]$ is even. □

Combining these results yields the following proposition, which proves Theorem 3.1.

PROPOSITION 3.13. *The rational numbers* $T, R, S,$ *and* U *from Theorem 3.1 satisfy the following divisibility properties:*

1. *If* $(p, 3) = (p, 5) = 1$, *then* T, R, S, U *are integers.*
2. *If* $(p, 3) = 3$ *and* $(p, 5) = 1$, *then the denominators are divisors of* 9.

(3) *If* $(p,3)=1$ *and* $(p,5)=5$ *, then the denominators are divisors of* 5.
(4) *If* $(p,3)=3$ *and* $(p,5)=5$*, then the denominators are divisors of* 45.

In terms of T, R, S, U the Kreck-Stolz invariants of the lens spaces are given by:

$$s_1 = \frac{1}{2^5 \cdot 7 \cdot p}(T+14S)\,,\; s_2 = \frac{1}{2^4 \cdot p}(R-S)\,,\; s_3 = \frac{1}{2^4 \cdot p}(U-S) \tag{3.14}$$

In order to determine the values of these Kreck-Stolz invariants on a computer, we multiply T, R, S, U by 45, find an integer approximation, and use 3.14.

4. Examples

Using a program written in Maple and C code we generate the following lists of examples. The program is available at www.math.upenn.edu/wziller/research , and can be described briefly as follows. For each given odd order $r = |r(k,l)| = |\sigma_2(k) - \sigma_2(l)| < 50000$ we produce a list of all positively curved Eschenburg spaces with that given order of the fourth cohomology group. To produce such a list, one needs to use four nested loops where the variable in each loop goes from 1 to 50000. The list of such spaces is hence very large. In the next step the program computes the basic polynomial invariants $s(k,l)$ and $p_1(k,l)$ and produces a list of pairs whose basic invariants coincide. The program also checks condition (C) and finds that it is always satisfied for such pairs. Generating the list and comparing the basic invariants are very time and memory intensive calculations which forced us to write the program in C code. Surprisingly, there are only 437 pairs of spaces whose basic invariants coincide.

For this significantly smaller list of spaces the Kreck-Stolz invariants are computed and compared, which can be done in Maple.

We also indicate the cohomogeneity of the examples in the last column. Here 2+ denotes the cohomogeneity two Eschenburg spaces with $k_1 = k_2$, containing the 3-Sasakian spaces, and 2− the case of $l_1 = l_2$.

We list the invariant $p_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_r$ as lying in the interval $[0, r-1]$, the (orientation sensitive) invariants $s(k,l) \in \mathbb{Z}_r$, which describes the linking form, as lying in $(-\frac{r-1}{2}, \frac{r-1}{2}]$ and $s_1, s_2, s_{22} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ as lying in $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. The advantage of choosing these intervals is that one sees immediately when the invariants just differ by a sign and hence the corresponding spaces are orientation reversing homeomorphic or diffeomorphic.

We first produce a list of homotopy equivalent positively curved Eschenburg spaces in Table 4.1 for $r \leq 200$. Such examples occur very frequently, e.g. there are 192 such pairs for $r < 1000$. See [Sh] for the first examples of this type in the literature.

In order to find pairs of homeomorphic or diffeomorphic Eschenburg spaces we increased r to 50000. There are 69 homeomorphic pairs, the first 5 of which are listed in Table 4.2, and only four diffeomorphic pairs, which we list in Table 4.3. It is interesting to note that for $r = 26,973$ there are two Eschenburg metrics on the same manifold, one cohomogeneity two and the other cohomogeneity four. We do not know whether these two metrics are isometric or not, in particular whether the cohomogeneity four metric is in fact cohomogeneity two under a larger isometric group action.

Next, we specialize to the subfamily of cohomogeneity two Eschenburg spaces which are 3-Sasakian, $E_{a,b,c} = \operatorname{diag}(z^a, z^b, z^c)\backslash \operatorname{SU}(3)/\operatorname{diag}(z^{a+b+c}, 1, 1)$. Homotopy equivalent 3-Sasakian spaces again exist in abundance. We list the first 5 examples with $r < 2000$ in Table 4.4.

Our main goal for this subfamily was to produce two 3-Sasakian spaces which are diffeomorphic to each other, see Theorem A. To do this we increased r to 10^7. Again we first find pairs of 3-Sasakian spaces where the basic invariants $s(k,l)$ and $p_1(k,l)$ coincide. The number of 3-Sasakian spaces with $r < 10^7$ is very large, and this portion of the program was written in C code. The program finds 3201 pairs with the same basic invariants, and we then compute the Kreck-Stolz invariants. There are 96 pairs of homeomorphic 3-Sasakian spaces, the first five given in Table 4.5, but only one diffeomorphic pair, see Table 4.6. A peculiar fact for the homeomorphic pairs is that the sum $a + b + c$ and the orientation is always the same, which reduces the number of possible pairs from 3201 to 152. But we were not able to see this directly. Notice also that this is not true for homotopy equivalent pairs of 3-Sasakian spaces, or for homeomorphic pairs of Eschenburg spaces.

References

[AW] S. Aloff and N. Wallach, *An infinite family of 7–manifolds admitting positively curved Riemannian structures*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **81**(1975), 93–97.

[AMP1] L. Astey, E. Micha and G. Pastor, *Homeomorphism and diffeomorphism types of Eschenburg spaces*, Diff. Geom. and its Appl. **7**(1997), 41–50.

[AMP2] L. Astey, E. Micha and G. Pastor, *Homeomorphism and diffeomorphism types of Eschenburg spaces*, Preprint 2004.

[BGM] C. Boyer, K. Galicki, and B. Mann, *The geometry and topology of 3-Sasakian manifolds*, J. reine angew. Math. **455** (1994), 183-220.

[BG] C. Boyer, and K. Galicki *3-Sasakian manifolds*, Surveys in differential geometry: Essays on Einstein manifolds, Surv. Differ. Geom., VI, Int. Press, Boston, MA, (1999), 123–184.

[Di] W. Dickinson, *Curvature properties of the positively curved Eschenburg spaces*, Diff. Geom. and its Appl. **20** (2004), 101–124.

[E1] J.-H. Eschenburg, *New examples of manifolds with strictly positive curvature*, Invent. Math. **66** (1982), 469–480.

[E2] J.H. Eschenburg, *Freie isometrische Aktionen auf kompakten Lie-Gruppen mit positiv gekrümmten Orbiträumen,* Schriftenr. Math. Inst. Univ. Münster **32** (1984).

[E3] J.-H. Eschenburg, *Cohomology of biquotients*, Manuscripta Math. **75** (1992), 151–166.

[E4] J.H. Eschenburg, *Inhomogeneous spaces of positive curvature,* Diff. Geom. and its App. **2** (1992), 123–132.

[GSZ] K. Grove, R. Shankar and W. Ziller, *On the cohomogeneity and symmetries of Eschenburg and Bazaikin spaces* , in preparation.

[KS1] M. Kreck and S. Stolz, *Some non diffeomorphic homeomorphic homogeneous 7-manifolds with positive sectional curvature*, J. Diff. Geom. **33** (1991), 465–486.

[KS2] M. Kreck and S. Stolz, *Non connected moduli spaces of positive sectional curvature metrics*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1993), 825–850.

[K1] B. Kruggel, *Kreck-Stolz invariants, normal invariants and the homotopy classification of generalized Wallach spaces*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **49** (1998), 469–485.

[K2] B. Kruggel, *Homeomorphism and diffeomorphism classification of Eschenburg spaces*, to appear in Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2).

[Sh] K. Shankar, *Strong inhomogeneity of Eschenburg spaces*, Michigan Math. J. **50** (2002), 125–141.

[Si] W. Singhof, *On the topology of double coset manifolds*, Math. Ann. **297** (1993), 133–146.

[Wa] L.Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Springer-Verlag (1982).
[Za] Zagier, D.: Higher dimensional Dedekind sums. Math. Ann. **202** (1973), 149–172.
[Zi] W. Ziller, *Homogeneous spaces, biquotients, and manifolds with positive curvature*, Lecture Notes 1998.

r	$[k_1, k_2, k_3 \mid l_1, l_2, l_3]$	s	s_{22}	p_1	Cohom
43	$[21, 21, -2 \mid 20, 20, 0]$	21	- 1/6	26	1
43	$[8, 7, -5 \mid 6, 4, 0]$	21	-1/6	13	4
101	$[50, 50, -2 \mid 49, 49, 0]$	50	-1/6	55	1
101	$[12, 10, -8 \mid 9, 5, 0]$	50	-1/6	21	4
137	$[68, 68, -2 \mid 67, 67, 0]$	68	-1/6	73	1
137	$[19, 17, -7 \mid 16, 13, 0]$	68	-1/6	23	4
181	$[16, 16, -10 \mid 13, 9, 0]$	-26	-1/6	85	2+
181	$[30, 26, -6 \mid 25, 25, 0]$	26	1/6	164	2-
181	$[45, 43, -4 \mid 42, 42, 0]$	43	0	89	2-
181	$[15, 14, -11 \mid 12, 6, 0]$	43	0	35	4

TABLE 4.1. Homotopy Equivalent Eschenburg Spaces for $r < 200$

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104
E-mail address: ted@math.upenn.edu

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY, CORVALLIS, OR 97331
E-mail address: tine@math.orst.edu

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104
E-mail address: wziller@math.upenn.edu

r	$[k_1, k_2, k_3 \mid l_1, l_2, l_3]$	s	$[p_1\ ,\ s_2]$	s_1	Cohom
4001	$[79, 49, -50 \mid 46, 32, 0]$	-1502	[3336, -1043/8002]	49741/112028	4
4001	$[75, 54, -51 \mid 46, 32, 0]$	1502	[3336, 1043/8002]	1877/8002	4
8099	$[71, 59, -94 \mid 34, 2, 0]$	3085	[2184, -6975/32396]	-1055/9968	4
8099	$[92, 47, -85 \mid 38, 16, 0]$	-3085	[2184, 6975/32396]	-4285/9968	4
8671	$[83, 43, -96 \mid 24, 6, 0]$	4216	[936, -11343/34684]	-941/10672	4
8671	$[97, 33, -88 \mid 24, 18, 0]$	- 4216	[936, 11343/34684]	-1417/74704	4
9889	$[104, 96, -86 \mid 81, 33, 0]$	1719	[65, 9505/39556]	2961/79112	4
9889	$[109, 101, -81 \mid 81, 48, 0]$	-1719	[65, -9505/39556]	275943/553784	4
11011	$[144, 136, -76 \mid 135, 69, 0]$	-1899	[5320, -6767/22022]	31695/176176	4
11011	$[152, 144, -68 \mid 129, 99, 0]$	-1899	[5320, -6767/22022]	12819/176176	4

TABLE 4.2. Homeomorphic Eschenburg Spaces for $r < 12000$

r	$[k_1, k_2, k_3 \mid l_1, l_2, l_3]$	s	$[p_1\ ,\ s_2]$	s_1	Cohom
13361	$[145, 121, -89 \mid 113, 64, 0]$	1732	[5905, 6839/53444]	-272959/748216	4
13361	$[151, 127, -83 \mid 104, 91, 0]$	-1732	[5905, -6839/53444]	272959/748216	4
26973	$[154, 154, -158 \mid 135, 15, 0]$	2119	[5877, 123965/323676]	-6131/18648	2+
26973	$[389, 383, -67 \mid 357, 348, 0]$	-2119	[5877, -123965/323676]	6131/18648	4
35749	$[185, 115, -186 \mid 102, 12, 0]$	10989	[18648, 8920/35749]	-9018/35749	4
35749	$[230, 111, -155 \mid 108, 78, 0]$	10989	[18648, 8920/35749]	-9018/35749	4
42319	$[205, 141, -193 \mid 114, 39, 0]$	7443	[20142, 4123/84638]	-73317/677104	4
42319	$[191, 157, -195 \mid 114, 39, 0]$	-7443	[20142, -4123/84638]	73317/677104	4

TABLE 4.3. Diffeomorphic Eschenburg Spaces for $r \leq 50000$

r	$[a,b,c \mid a+b+c,0,0]$	s	s_{22}	p_1
1267	$[316,3,1 \mid 320,0,0]$	-319	1/3	813
1267	$[25,19,18 \mid 62,0,0]$	-319	1/3	86
1277	$[181,5,2 \mid 188,0,0]$	533	1/6	453
1277	$[44,19,7 \mid 70,0,0]$	-533	-1/6	861
1557	$[778,1,1 \mid 780,0,0]$	778	1/6	783
1557	$[139,7,4 \mid 150,0,0]$	778	1/6	1404
1595	$[398,3,1 \mid 402,0,0]$	-401	0	1018
1595	$[36,23,13 \mid 72,0,0]$	-401	0	798
1619	$[105,11,4 \mid 120,0,0]$	-237	0	1277
1619	$[132,7,5 \mid 144,0,0]$	-237	0	997

TABLE 4.4. Homotopy equivalent 3-Sasakian Spaces $r < 2000$

r	$[a,b,c \mid a+b+c,0,0]$	s	$[p_1\ ,\ s_2]$	s_1
28379	$[171,164,1 \mid 336,0,0]$	-335	[27139, -2393/56758]	-82869/3178448
28379	$[223,60,53 \mid 336,0,0]$	-335	[27139, -2393/56758]	-1104513/3178448
129503	$[362,291,37 \mid 690,0,0]$	12564	[45679, -80901/259006]	69409/14504336
129503	$[423,169,98 \mid 690,0,0]$	12564	[45679, -80901/259006]	5767541/14504336
273581	$[717,362,13 \mid 1092,0,0]$	91230	[196280, 370663/1094324]	-393315/1094324
273581	$[761,241,90 \mid 1092,0,0]$	91230	[196280, 370663/1094324]	310179/1094324
382025	$[891,368,43 \mid 1302,0,0]$	-35741	[334208, -294993/1528100]	-74669/436600
382025	$[928,191,183 \mid 1302,0,0]$	-35741	[334208, -294993/1528100]	1442017/3056200
442179	$[1265,347,2 \mid 1614,0,0]$	-6448	[346023, 115166/1326537]	-173889/611408
442179	$[1274,311,29 \mid 1614,0,0]$	-6448	[346023, 115166/1326537]	-21037/611408

TABLE 4.5. Homeomorphic 3-Sasakian Spaces for $r < 500000$

r	$[a,b,c \mid 0,0,a+b+c]$	s	$[p_1\ ,\ s_2]$	s_1
5143925	$[2279,1603,384 \mid 4266,0,0]$	-1448517	[390037, 36777/4115140]	-37291099/144029900
5143925	$[2528,939,799 \mid 4266,0,0]$	-1448517	[390037, 36777/4115140]	-37291099/144029900

TABLE 4.6. Diffeomorphic 3-Sasakian Spaces for $r < 10^7$